Hash Chemistry: An Open-Ended Evolutionary System with Cardinality Leap and Universal Fitness Evaluation

Hiroki Sayama sayama@binghamton.edu

Paper Coming Up

- Sayama, H. (2019) Cardinality leap for open-ended evolution: Theoretical consideration and demonstration by "Hash Chemistry", Artificial Life 25:2, in press.
- Preprint available: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/18</u> 06.06628



Artificial Life (1987-)

- Interdisciplinary field founded by Christopher Langton
- International Society for Artificial Life (ISAL; 2001-) http://alife.org
- Artificial Life journal (MIT Press)
- ALIFE/ECAL conferences
- IEEE ALIFE, AROB conferences



"Artificial Life"

- "... is a field of study devoted to understanding life by attempting to abstract the fundamental dynamical principles underlying biological phenomena, and recreating these dynamics in other physical media — such as computers — making them accessible to new kinds of experimental manipulation and testing."
- "... In addition to providing new ways to study the biological phenomena associated with life here on Earth, <u>life-aswe-know-it</u>, Artificial Life allows us to extend our studies to the larger domain of "bio-logic" of possible life, <u>life-as-it-couldbe</u>."
 - C. G. Langton, "Preface", In C. G. Langton, C. Taylor, J. D. Farmer, and S. Rasmussen, eds., Artificial Life II, vol. X of SFI Studies in the Sciences of Complexity, pp. xiii-xviii, Addison-Wesley, 1992.

OEE3: The Third Workshop on Open-Ended Evolution

Taking place at the 2018 Conference on Artificial Life (ALIFE 2018), Tokyo, Japan, 25 July 2018

Draft schedule now available!

troduction

Dverview

ollowing the success of our first two workshops (OEE1, OEE2), the Third Workshop on Open-Ended Evolution DEE3) will take place at the ALIFE 2018 conference in Tokyo, Japan on 25 July 2018.

nom the first experiments with digital evolution in the 1550s to the increasingly sophisticated simulations of the present day, the concept of open-ended evolution (OEE) has been a central concern for AttRicial Life searchers. Recent upwas have seen a assuble renewed interest in the topic, as demonstrated by the mising tendance at the first two workshops and by the success of the CEET Virokshop Report published in the rificial Life journal (where is is currently the most read atolice ow the last 12 months by a long margin). The increment in the field has been well summarised in a necent atticle by CEE researchers Kenneth 0. Stanley, pol Lehman and Lina Soros.

Juding upon this momentum, the OEE3 surchapp and lib as launchpad for a special issue of the Antificial Ife journal. We will accept extended abstract submissions and Auf paper submissions for the workshop, and ill inite authors of selected contributions to expand their work for submission to the special issue. Al ubmissions should refer to the observable behavioral hallmarks listed in section 3 of the OEEE Workshop work nublication. In Antificial IA, incompany under a monte nonzeas on babaciant balander. Hurchtarized, submissions the Antificial IA, incompany under a monte nonzeas on babaciant balander. Hurchtarized, submissions the Antificial IA, incompany under a monte nonzeas on babaciant balander. Hurchtarized, submissions and the Antificial IA, incompany under a monte nonzeas on babaciant balander. Hurchtarized, submissions and the Antificial IA, incompany under a monte nonzeas on babaciant balander. Hurchtarized, submissions and the submission and the submission and the submission to the submission of the submission to the submission to the submission of the submission to the sub





Open-Ended Evolution: Perspectives from the OEE Workshop in York

Abstract We describe the content and outcomes of the First Workshop on Open-Ended Evolution: Recent Progress and Future Milestones (OEE1), held during the ECAL 2015 conference at the University of York, UK, in July 2015. We briefly summarize the content of the workshop's talks, and identify the main themes that emerged from the open discussions. Two important conclusions from the discussions are: (1) the idea of *pluralism* about OEE-it seems clear that there is more than one interesting and important kind of OEE; and (2) the importance of distinguishing observable behavioral ballmarks of systems undergoing OEE from hypothesized anderlying mechanisms that explain why a system exhibits those hallmarks. We summarize the different hallmarks and mechanisms discussed during the workshop, and list the specific systems that were highlighted with respect to particular hallmarks and mechanisms. We conclude by identifying some of the most important open research questions about OEE that are apparent in light of the discussions The York workshop provides a foundation for a follow-up OEE2 workshop taking place at the ALIFE XV conference in Cancún, Mexico, in July 2016. Additional materials from the York workshop including talk abstracts, presentation slides, and videos of each talk, are available at http://alife.org/ws/occ1.

Mark Bedau[‡] Alastair Channon§ David Ackley^{††} Wolfgang Banzhaf^{‡‡} Guillaume Beslon⁵⁵ Emily Dolson*** Tom Froese^{†††} Simon Hickinbotham[‡] Takashi Ikegami^{‡‡‡} Barry McMullin 555 Norman Packard*** Steen Rasmussen¹¹¹¹ Nathaniel Virgo^{‡‡‡‡} Eran Agmon 5555 Edward Clark[†] Simon McGregor***** Charles Ofria*** Glen Ropella Lee Spector^{†††††} Kenneth O. Stanley 5555 Adam Stanton[§] Christopher Timperley[†] Anya Vostinar*** Michael Wiser***

Tim Taylor*[†]

Keywords Open-ended evolution, ongoing evolution, perpetual novelty, adaptive evolution, dynamical hierarchies, major transitions

** This paper was primarily written by the first three co-authors [17], MB, AC, [] based upon material presented and discussed by participants of the first Workshop on Open-field e Fockient (OEE) aidring the fortpropen Conference on Artificial Lés 2018 at the University of York, UK. Addisound material and commons were provided by the other presenters at the workshop [JAA, WB, GB, ED, TF, SH, TA, NS], TN, SB, AN, NY, Jian by the other participants, who centributed to discussions at the workshop of BAA, WB, GB, ED, TF, SH, TA, NS], TA, SB, AN, TN, SB,

Open-Ended Evolution

Evolution that keeps producing novel forms and adaptations with no apparent limit

ON OUR RADAR

AI

BUSINESS

AI

DATA

DESIGN

ECONOMY

NOMY JUPYTER

OPERATIC SEE ALL

https://www.oreilly.com/ideas/open-endednessthe-last-grand-challenge-youve-never-heard-of

Open-endedness: The last grand challenge you've never heard of

While open-endedness could be a force for discovering intelligence, it could also be a component of AI itself.

By Kenneth O. Stanley, Joel Lehman, and Lisa Soros. December 19, 2017







Check out the "Impact of AI on Business and Society" sessions at the AI Conference in San Francisco, September 4-7, 2018. Hurry—best price ends June 8.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is a grand challenge for computer science. Lifetimes of effort and billions of dollars have

powered its pursuit. Vet today its most



Fractal (source: Pixabay)

Toward Open-Ended Evolution in ALife

Examples:

- Avida (Adami et al., 1993-)
- Geb (Channon 2001-)
- Stringmol (Hickinbotham 2010-)
- Evolutionary Swarm Chemistry (Sayama 2011-) etc.

Challenges:

- Definition & characterization
- Candidate mechanisms
- Specific implementations



An Issue

Evolution on a well-defined, finite fitness landscape *cannot be openended*, by definition

How can we effectively achieve an infinite possibility space in an artificial evolutionary model?

Bottom-up evolution by interactions of lower-level entities

Formation of unbounded higher-level organizations

Theoretical Consideration

Evolution in a Typical "Landscape" View



Cardinality of Possibility Set S

$$S = \{A, B, C\} \implies |S| = 3 \quad \text{Countably finite} \\ S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \dots\} \implies |S| = \infty \quad \text{Countably infinite} \\ S = \{\text{ (any real number) }\} \implies |S| = \infty \quad \text{Uncountably infinite} \end{cases}$$

Countably Finite Possibilities



Countably Infinite Possibilities



Uncountably Infinite Possibilities





Cardinality of Possibility Set S and the Fate of Evolution

Open-ended evolution is a parallel search process that must keep testing *novel possibilities*

If S is finite, OEE will eventually reach the optimal type in a finite amount of time (which ironically makes the evolution NOT open-ended!)

(If S is countably infinite, OEE is possible, though a bit unnatural)

Now What?

"Finite but very large S is sufficient" => Sure, fair enough

"Continous S solves the problem"

=> Real biological entities use discrete, symbolic codes=> With this, simple chaos would also be considered OEE

"Formation of higher-order entities in S solves the problem"

=> Hell yes, we should do it



Cardinality Leap

Allowing entities in S to form higher-order entities (i.e. multisets)

Cardinality Leap: Examples

$S = \{ A, B, C \} \implies S^* = \{ \{\}, \{A\}, \{B\}, \{C\}, \{A,A\}, \{A,B\}, ..., \{A,A,A\}, \{A,A,B\}, ..., \{A,A,A\}, \{A,A,B\}, ... \}$

 $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5 ...\} \implies S^* = \{\text{ (all possible frequency distributions defined on } \}$

all positive integers) }

Higher-Order Entities and Cardinality Leap

- Cardinality of the set of higher-order entities jumps up
 - Finite -> Countably infinite
 - Countably infinite -> Uncountably infinite
- This approach has been used in several different forms
 - Multisets in Artificial Chemistry models
 - Unbounded code trees in Genetic Programming etc...



Examples

- From finite to countably infinite
 - Individual entities: *Chemical elements*
 - Higher-order entities: *Multisets* of elements (i.e., molecules)
- From countably infinite to uncountably infinite
 - Individual entities: Molecules
 - Higher-order entities: *Multisets* of molecules (e.g., cells)

An Illustrative Toy Model: "Hash Chemistry"

Artificial Chemistry

 A sub-field of Artificial Life where models of artificial chemical reactions are used to study the emergence and evolution of life from non-living elements

ARTIFICIAL CHEMISTRIES

WOLFGANG BANZHAF AND LIDIA YAMAMOTO



A Challenge

How can one design a mechanistic, *universal* means for evaluating the level of success of entities of any arbitrary size or order?



A Lazy Solution: Hash Function

Hash Function: Examples

Hash["Hiroki"]

 $2\,114\,612\,129\,374\,295\,693$

Hash[{1, 1, 2, 3}]

5 349 994 258 566 133 103

Hash[{1, -3, {0, 1 - 10 i, {3, 21, "abc"}}, {{}, {{}}}]

731 100 058 976 559 080

Hash Chemistry: Outline



Hash Chemistry: Details

- 1. Move particles randomly
- 2. For each particle's position:
 - a) Select a random subset (s) of particles in vicinity (N)
 - b) With probability 1/|s|:
 - i. Sort the content of *s*
 - ii. Calculate fitness f of s using a hash function
 - iii. With probability 1 f, remove s from space
 - iv. With probability $f(1 |N|/d_{max})$, add a copy of s to space
- 3. Mutate particle types probabilistically
- 4. Randomize the order of particles



Fitness Increases (Obviously)



Units of Self-Replication Becomes Larger



What Is Happening?

Single individual entities only



What Is Happening?

Pairs of individual entities included



What Is Happening?

Triplets of individual entities included



Unbounded Possibilities by Cardinality Leap



Then, Reviewer #2 Comes In

Is this really adaptation?

•Any random number generators may be used as fitness to produce similar results.

Control Experiments

- 1. Move particles randomly
- 2. For each particle's position:
 - a) Select a random subset (s) of particles in vicinity (N)
 - b) With probability 1/|s|:
 - i. Sort the content of *s*



- iii. With probability 1 f, remove *s* from space
- iv. With probability $f(1 |N|/d_{max})$, add a copy of s to space
- 3. Mutate particle types probabilistically
- 4. Randomize the order of particles



This Is What Happened



With Positively Biased Random Number Generators



Reviewer #2 Receives a Reply

Is this really adaptation? Yes

Any random number generators may be used as fitness to produce similar results.

Conclusions

Proposed formation of higher-order entities as an effective way to cause a "cardinality leap"

Proposed a toy model "Hash Chemistry" using a hash function as the universal fitness evaluator

Cardinality leap facilitated unbounded increase in the production of novel types

Control experiments showed the observed dynamics were evolutionary adaptation driven by selection

Acknowledgments

- Howard H. Pattee (Professor Emerius at SSIE Dept.)
- Two anonymous reviewers 🙂
- Organizers of the Open-Ended Evolution Workshop 3 at ALIFE 2018 (Tim Taylor, Norman Packard, Mark Bedau, Alastair Channon, Steen Rasmussen, Takashi Iegami)





Thank You